

but $\underline{C}_e^* = \underline{F}_e^{*T} \underline{F}_e^* = \underline{Q} \underline{F}_e^T \underline{F}_e \underline{Q}^T = \underline{Q} \underline{C}_e \underline{Q}^T$

↳ depends on \underline{Q}

(27)

$$\underline{b}_e^* = \underline{F}_e^* \underline{F}_e^{*T} = \underline{F}_e \underline{Q}^T \underline{Q} \underline{F}_e^T = \underline{F}_e \underline{F}_e^T = \underline{b}_e$$

therefore ψ and γ , that are fctn of \underline{b}_e invariants, are also invariant w.r.t. the rotation \underline{Q}

∴ $C_p, b_e \rightarrow$ appropriate kinematic measure for constitutive equations

Rate Kinematics

recalling $\underline{b}_e = \underline{F} \underline{C}_p^{-1} \underline{F}^T$

\underline{C}_p and \underline{b}_e are path-dependent and must be obtained by integrating a rate form.

(i.e. cannot be obtained directly from the current configuration alone).

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\underline{b}}_e &= \frac{d}{dt} b_e(\underline{F}(t), \underline{C}_p(t)) = \frac{\partial b_e}{\partial \underline{F}} : \dot{\underline{F}} + \frac{\partial b_e}{\partial \underline{C}_p} : \dot{\underline{C}}_p \\ &= \left. \frac{d b_e}{dt} \right|_{\underline{C}_p = \text{const}} + \left. \frac{d b_e}{dt} \right|_{\underline{F} = \text{const}} \end{aligned}$$

no further permanent deformation (i.e. trial state of stress)

results from a change in permanent deformation (i.e. return mapping)

Employing the concept of work conjugacy
(to develop a flow rule)

$$\dot{W} = \dot{W}_e + \dot{W}_p \quad \rightarrow \text{total work rate / unit volume}$$

in terms of the Kirchhoff stress $\underline{\tau}$ and velocity gradient \underline{L} .

$$\dot{W} = \underline{\tau} : \underline{L} = \underline{\tau} : \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\underline{b}_e}{dt} \Big|_{C_p = \text{const}} : \underline{b}_e^{-1} \right)$$

proof) noting the commutative property of
see example 7.1. (BW Book) $\underline{b}_e^{-1} \underline{\tau} = \underline{\tau} \underline{b}_e^{-1}$ $\underline{F}_e^{-T} \underline{F}_e^{-1} \underline{\tau} = \underline{\tau} \underline{F}_e^{-T} \underline{F}_e^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[\underline{\tau} \left(\dot{\underline{F}} \underline{C}_p^{-1} \underline{F}^T + \underline{F} \underline{C}_p^{-1} \dot{\underline{F}}^T \right) \underline{F}_e^{-T} \underline{F}_e^{-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[\underline{\tau} \dot{\underline{F}} \underline{F}_p^{-1} \underline{F}_p^{-T} \underline{F}^T \underline{F}_e^{-T} \underline{F}_e^{-1} + \underline{\tau} \underline{F} \underline{F}_p^{-1} \underline{F}_p^{-T} \dot{\underline{F}}^T \underline{F}_e^{-T} \underline{F}_e^{-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[\underline{\tau} \underbrace{\dot{\underline{F}} \underline{F}_e^{-1}}_{\underline{L}} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[\underline{\tau} \underline{F}_e \underline{F}_p \underline{F}_p^{-1} \underline{F}_p^{-T} \dot{\underline{F}}^T \underline{F}_e^{-T} \underline{F}_e^{-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underline{\tau} : \underline{L} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(\underline{F}_e^{-T} \underline{F}_e^{-1} \underline{\tau} \underline{F}_e \underline{F}_p^{-T} \dot{\underline{F}}^T \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underline{\tau} : \underline{L} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(\underline{F}_e^{-T} \underline{F}_e^{-1} \underline{F}_e \underline{F}_p^{-T} \dot{\underline{F}}^T \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underline{\tau} : \underline{L} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(\underline{\tau} \underline{F}_e^{-T} \dot{\underline{F}}^T \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underline{\tau} : \underline{L} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\tau} : \underline{L} = \underline{\tau} : \underline{L} \end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{\underline{\omega}}_e = \underline{\Upsilon} : \underline{L}_e = \underline{\Upsilon} : \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\underline{b}_e}{dt} \underline{b}_e^{-1} \right) \rightarrow \text{proof in example 7.2.}$$

$$\underline{L} = \dot{\underline{F}} \underline{F}^{-1} \rightarrow \underline{L}_e = \dot{\underline{F}}_e \underline{F}_e^{-1}$$

$$\dot{\underline{\omega}}_p = \dot{\underline{\omega}} - \dot{\underline{\omega}}_e$$

$$= \underline{\Upsilon} : \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\underline{b}_e}{dt} \Big|_{C_p = \text{const}} \underline{b}_e^{-1} \right) - \underline{\Upsilon} : \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\underline{b}_e}{dt} \underline{b}_e^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \underline{\Upsilon} : \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\underline{b}_e}{dt} \Big|_{C_p = \text{const}} - \frac{d\underline{b}_e}{dt} \right) \underline{b}_e^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \underline{\Upsilon} : \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\underline{b}_e}{dt} \Big|_{F = \text{const}} \underline{b}_e^{-1} \right) = \underline{\Upsilon} : \underline{L}_p$$

$$\underline{L}_p \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\underline{b}_e}{dt} \Big|_{F = \text{const}} \underline{b}_e^{-1} \quad (\text{plastic rate of deformation})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \underline{F} \underline{C}_p^{-1} \dot{\underline{C}}_p \underline{F}^{-1} \rightarrow \text{proof in example 7.3.}$$

recalling $\underline{b}_e = \underline{F} \underline{C}_p^{-1} \underline{E}^T$ as C_p is path-dependent

\underline{b}_e is also implicitly path-dependent.

If \underline{b}_e is determined by time integration of rate-form of \underline{b}_e

then stress can be evaluated by

$$\underline{\Upsilon} = \underline{J} \underline{\sigma} = 2\text{I} \underline{b}_e + 4\text{II} \underline{b}_e^2 + 2\text{III} \underline{b}_e \text{I} \underline{I}$$

⑤ Rate-independent Plasticity.

How to evaluate the plastic rate-of-deformation $\dot{\underline{\epsilon}}_p$?

⇒ By flow rule

We assume Von Mises plasticity with linear isotropic hardening.

$$f(\underline{\tau}, \bar{\epsilon}_p) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}(\underline{\tau}' : \underline{\tau}')} - \bar{\tau}_y \leq 0$$

Von Mises equivalent stress

$$\text{Where } \bar{\tau}_y = \bar{\tau}_y^0 + H \bar{\epsilon}_p \quad \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \text{const. plastic modulus} \\ \rightarrow \text{hardening variable} \end{array}$$

$$\underline{\tau}' = \underline{\tau} - p \underline{J} \underline{I} \quad \leftarrow \text{from } \underline{\tau} = \underline{J} \underline{\sigma}$$

if $f(\underline{\tau}, \bar{\epsilon}_p) < 0 \rightarrow$ elastically behaves.

if $f(\underline{\tau}, \bar{\epsilon}_p) = 0 \rightarrow$ elasto-plastic behavior.

flow rule is defined as

$$\dot{\underline{\epsilon}}_p = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d_{be}}{dt} \Big|_{\bar{\epsilon}_p = \text{const}} \underline{b}_e^{-1} = \dot{\gamma} \frac{\partial f(\underline{\tau}, \bar{\epsilon}_p)}{\partial \underline{\tau}}$$

plastic multiplier.

Note that the direction of the plastic strain rate coincides with the gradient of the yield surface.

⇒ associative flow rule

because of maximum dissipation of plastic work

$\underline{\tau} : \underline{\dot{\epsilon}} = \underline{\tau} : \underline{\dot{\epsilon}}_e + \underline{\tau} : \underline{\dot{\epsilon}}_p$
 maximizing the $\underline{\tau} : \underline{\dot{\epsilon}}_p$ subjected to the constraints $f(\underline{\tau}, \underline{\bar{\epsilon}}_p) \leq 0$ leads the eqn.

$$\underline{\dot{\epsilon}}_p = \dot{\gamma} \frac{\partial f(\underline{\tau}, \underline{\bar{\epsilon}}_p)}{\partial \underline{\tau}}$$

Substituting f to this eqn.

$$f(\underline{\tau}, \underline{\bar{\epsilon}}_p) = \left[\frac{3}{2} (\tau_{ij} - pJ\delta_{ij})(\tau_{ij} - pJ\delta_{ij}) \right]^{1/2} - \bar{\tau}_y$$

$$= \left[\frac{3}{2} (\tau_{ij}\tau_{ij} - 2pJ\tau_{ii} + 3p^2J^2) \right]^{1/2} - \bar{\tau}_y$$

$$\circ = \left[\frac{3}{2} \tau_{ij}\tau_{ij} - 3pJ\tau_{ii} + \frac{9}{2}p^2J^2 \right]^{1/2} - \bar{\tau}_y$$

$$\dot{\epsilon}_p = \dot{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{kl}} = \dot{\gamma} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{3}{2} \tau_{ij}\tau_{ij} - 3pJ\tau_{ii} + \frac{9}{2}p^2J^2 \right]^{-1/2}$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{2} \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} \tau_{ij} + \frac{3}{2} \tau_{ij} \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - 3pJ \delta_{ik} \delta_{il} \right)$$

$$= \dot{\gamma} \frac{1/2}{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2} (\underline{\tau}' : \underline{\tau}')}} \left[3 \tau_{kl} - 3pJ \underline{1} \right]$$

$$= \dot{\gamma} \frac{\underline{\tau}'}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} (\underline{\tau}' : \underline{\tau}')}}$$

following the work-hardening approach.

$$\dot{w}_p = \bar{\tau} \dot{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}}_p = \underline{\underline{\tau}} : \underline{\underline{L}}_p = \underline{\underline{\tau}} : \dot{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}} \frac{\underline{\underline{\tau}}'}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}(\underline{\underline{\tau}}' : \underline{\underline{\tau}}')}}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}(\underline{\underline{\tau}}' : \underline{\underline{\tau}}')} \dot{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}} = \dot{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}} \bar{\tau} \Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}} = \dot{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}}_p}$$

Note) $\underline{\underline{\tau}} : \underline{\underline{\tau}}' = (\underline{\underline{\tau}} + p \mathbf{J} \underline{\underline{I}}) : \underline{\underline{\tau}}' = 0.$
 $= \underline{\underline{\tau}}' : \underline{\underline{\tau}}' + p \mathbf{J} : \underline{\underline{\tau}}' = 0$

Remark) Volumetric component of $\underline{\underline{L}}_p$, that is, $\text{tr}(\underline{\underline{L}}_p)$ is zero!

$$\text{tr}(\underline{\underline{L}}_p) = \dot{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}} \frac{\text{tr}(\underline{\underline{\tau}}')}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}(\underline{\underline{\tau}}' : \underline{\underline{\tau}}')}} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{tr}(\underline{\underline{\tau}}') = 0$$

$\det(\underline{\underline{T}}_p) = 1 \rightarrow$ plastic deformation is incompressible.

⊙ Principal Directions.